# ON THE STUDY OF ARCHAIC AND CLASSICAL GREEK POETIC AND MUSICAL $$\rm Rhythm^{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$$

Since the end of the nineteenth century and throughout the last century, the scholars who have voiced severe reservations about the possibility of studying the rhythm of syllabic sequences in Ancient Greek poetic texts from a musical point of view have been numerous, and often influential<sup>2</sup>. Even today, studies which focus on poetic and musical rhythm usually cause a minor resonance if compared with many of those which mainly deal with metre<sup>3</sup>. A tendency towards over-interpretation and a lack of rigour on the part of many nineteenth-century and some twentieth-century rhythmic theorists has probably influenced the pendulum to swing in this direction.

However, when one assesses the resources available to us for the study of Greek poetic and musical rhythm in depth, the situation is no more desperate than that of other facets of Classical Philology. At the first stage, of course, we have the metrical data which have been extracted and will keep on being extracted from ancient poetical texts by scholars of all times. These are the very steps which we must use to make progress on the field of rhythm. Nevertheless, there are other sources which can help us to provide these data with a clearer rhythmical sense. To begin with, we can boast a relatively abundant and, in some cases, remarkably old body of doctrinal works<sup>4</sup>. Aside from the theoretical bulk of works from the Hellenistic and Roman periods, we have various Platonic and Aristotelian passages dealing with rhythm and, more importantly, we possess a large part of Aristoxenus' works on music, dating as far back as the fourth century BC.

On the validity of the Aristoxenus' works as a tool for studying earlier poetic-musical realities, it is worth noting that Aristoxenian assumptions correspond greatly to the different Platonic and Aristotelian passages addressing the subject. Let us take, for instance, some lines from Plato's *Republic*, in which he sets out some of the basic aspects of Damon's musical theory:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This article is taken from part of a doctoral thesis entitled Ἀνάγκη μέτρου. Metre i ritme en el vers grec (Metre and Rhythm in Greek Verse). This doctoral thesis was supervised by Jaume Pòrtulas, Department of Greek Philology of the Universitat de Barcelona. The viva voce for this thesis took place on 14th June 2005 at the Universitat de Barcelona.

See, for example, Wilamowitz 1921, 1-91; Maas (1923) 1962, 3-4 and the *Final Remarks*; etc.

A list of all the scholars who have made important progresses on the field of metrics would be truly endless. Some of the most renowned comprehensive works are Hermann 1816; Wilamowitz 1921; Koster (1936) 1966; Maas (1923) 1962; Dain 1965; Gentili 1966; Korzeniewski 1968; West 1982; and Gentili-Lomiento 2003. Although we find some rhythmical discussions in most of these books, all of them mainly deal with metrics. See the comparatively minor resonance caused by the reflections on rhythmics to be found, for example, in Pearson 1990 or in West 1992.

See the chronological analysis by Budelmann 2001. See also the first chapter of Gentili-Lomiento 2003.

#### Classical Greek Poetic and Musical Rhythm

οἷμαι δέ με ἀκηκοέναι οὐ σαφῶς ἐνόπλιόν τέ τινα ὀνομάζοντος αὐτοῦ καὶ δάκτυλον καὶ ἡρῷόν γε, οὐκ οἶδα ὅπως διακοσμοῦντος καὶ ἴσον ἄνω καὶ κάτω τιθέντος, εἰς βραχύ τε καὶ μακρὸν γιγνόμενον, καὶ, ὡς ἐγὼ οἷμαι, ἴαμβον καὶ τιν᾽ ἄλλον τροχαῖον ἀνόμαζε, μήκη δὲ καὶ βραχύτητας προσῆπτε<sup>5</sup>.

The concepts ἄνω and κάτω are characteristic of Aristoxenian rhythmic theory<sup>6</sup>, as is also the idea that certain rhythmic realities possess an ἴσος internal ratio<sup>7</sup>. Just as we find in this Platonic text, the root δακτυλ- and the ἴσος internal ratio are associated in the work of Aristoxenus<sup>8</sup>. The ἴαμβος, according to the Platonic passage in question, is formed from two unequal durations:  $\mu$ ήκη δὲ καὶ βραχύτητας. The Aristoxenian γένος ἰαμβικός also displays a non ἴσος internal ratio - 1/2, specifically<sup>9</sup>.

Furthermore, in his *Rhetoric*, Aristotle uses principles and words which appear wholly Aristoxenian to refer to the nature of different rhythms:

τῶν δὲ ῥυθμῶν ὁ μὲν ἡρῷος σεμνὸς ἀλλὰ λεκτικῆς ἁρμονίας δεόμενος, ὁ δ᾽ ἴαμβος αὐτή ἐστιν ἡ λέξις ἡ τῶν πολλῶν δύο μάλιστα πάντων τῶν μέτρων ἰαμβεῖα φθέγγονται λέγοντες. δεῖ δὲ σεμνότητα γενέσθαι καὶ ἐκστῆσαι. ὁ δὲ τροχαῖος κορδακικώτερος δηλοῖ δὲ τὰ τετράμετρα ἔστι γὰρ ῥυθμός τροχαῖος τὰ τετράμετρα. λείπεται δὲ παιάν, ῷ ἐχρῶντο μὲν ἀπὸ Θρασυμάχου ἀρξάμενοι, οὐκ εἶχον δὲ λέγειν τίς ἡν. ἔστι δὲ τρίτος ὁ παιάν, καὶ ἐχόμενος τῶν εἰρημένων τρία γὰρ πρὸς δύ᾽ ἐστίν, ἐκείνων δὲ ὁ μὲν ἕν πρὸς ἕν, ὁ δὲ δύο πρὸς ἕν. ἔχεται δὲ τῶν λόγων τούτων ὁ ἡμιόλιος οὖτος δ᾽ ἐστὶν ὁ παιάν<sup>10</sup>.

The λόγος concept, with the meaning of 'internal ratio of the rhythmic units', is basic to the theory of Aristoxenus<sup>11</sup>. It is also worth highlighting that the root ἴαμβ-appears, in this particular text of Aristotle, associated with the 1/2 ratio, just as we find in the work of Aristoxenus<sup>12</sup>. Moreover, the word ἡρ $\hat{\varphi}$ ος, interpreted within a metrical-rhythmic context, is synonymous with 'heroic dactylic hexameter' Aristotle relates this to the internal ratio of 1/1. As the above-mentioned sets out, the

```
<sup>5</sup> Pl. R. III 400b-c.
```

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Aristox. *El. rhyth.* 17, 20, etc. Pearson 1990.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Aristox. *El. rhyth.* 20, 24, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Aristox. *El. rhyth.* 30, 32, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Aristox. *El. rhyth.* 30, 31, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Arist. Rh. 1408b-09a.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Aristox. *El. rhyth.* 20, 24, etc.

See note 9.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>  $LSJ^9$ .

rhythmic genre which possesses this λόγος is called δακτυλικόν by Aristoxenus<sup>14</sup>. Finally, the philosopher informs us that the  $\pi$ αιάν is a rhythm with an internal hemiolic rhythm, that is to say, 3/2. The work of Aristoxenus also considers that the  $\pi$ αιωνικόν genre is that which has a λόγος ἡμιόλιος<sup>15</sup>.

We should also turn our attention to a passage from the pseudo-Aristotelian *Musical Problems:* 

...καθάπερ ἐν τοῖς μέτροις οἱ πόδες ἔχουσι πρὸς αὐτοὺς λόγον ἴσον πρὸς ἴσον ἤ δύο πρὸς ἕν ἤ καὶ τινα ἄλλον...  $^{16}$ 

Once again, we can observe the presence of the Aristoxenian concept of internal ratio: the  $\lambda \acute{o} \gamma o \varsigma$ . Pseudo-Aristotle makes a specific reference to two of these internal relationships: 2/1 and 1/1. With regard to the latter, the word  $\ifmmode i\end{valpha}$  used once more. As I have already mentioned, both ratios are described by Aristoxenus, who also names  $\ifmmode i\end{valpha}$  as the latter. Unlike the previous quoted passages, the passage under scrutiny explicitly includes the concept of  $\ifmmode m\end{valpha}$  foot' is, without doubt, one of the fundamental pillars in the theoretical construction of Aristoxenus<sup>17</sup>.

The remarks contained in the previous paragraphs are valid for many of the later musical and metric theorists to varying degrees. Nonetheless, it is worth pointing out

See notes 7 and 8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Aristox. *El. rhyth.* 30 and 33.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Ps.-Arist. *Pr. mus.* XIX 39b Jan (1895) 1995.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Aristox. *El. rhyth.* 16, 17, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> Aristox. *El. rhyth.* 20, 21, etc.

See Aristox. El. Harm. 2.33-34 Meibom 1652: ... καὶ τῶν μεγεθῶν μενόντων ἀνόμοιοι γίγνονται οἱ πόδες καὶ τὸ αὐτὸ μέγεθος πόδα τε δύναται καὶ συζυγίαν δῆλον δ' ὅτι καὶ αὶ τῶν διαιρέσεων τε καὶ σχημάτων διαφοραὶ περὶ μένον τι μέγεθος γίγνονται. See also Aristox. El. rhyth. 27: Διαιρέσει δὲ διαφέρουσι ἀλλήλων, ὅταν τὸ αὐτὸ μέγεθος εἰς ἄνισα μέρη διαιρεθῆ, ἤτοι κατὰ ἀμφότερα, κατὰ τε τὸν ἀριθμὸν καὶ κατὰ τὰ μεγέθη, ἢ κατὰ θἄτερα.

that, with regard to Greek song and poetry, the oldest testimonies should offer greater opportunities for getting closer to the reality of the Archaic and Classical period than other testimonies<sup>20</sup>. Within the context of poetic-musical transmission, which was, in its origins, eminently oral and with an incipient and barely used musical script, the passing of the years makes any information referring to a previous period increasingly unreliable. Therefore, Aristoxenus' work - together with the scarce Platonic and Aristotelian passages - should be of greater value to us if compared with the work of other later theorists: one must take into account, for example, that the death of Aristophanes and the birth of Aristoxenus are almost contemporary with one another, whilst, on the other hand, no less than four hundred years separate Aristoxenus and Hephaestion, as far as we know. Notwithstanding, when it comes to making research progress in the field of rhythmics, one must treat any information extracted from the collective body of ancient theoretical works with caution, and use this information as one more element of judgement, and not as determining point of reference. In fact, one must not forget that the works of Alcman and Archilochus are separated from the writings of Aristoxenus by a span of several centuries.

The researcher may, in the same way, extract information from the musical testimonies - instrumental and vocal - of Antiquity which contain rhythmic notation. In certain cases, we are in a position to write a fairly reliable script of their rhythm<sup>21</sup>. It is true that the oldest of these documents were copied in the third century BC<sup>22</sup> and, therefore, we cannot, a priori, be completely sure that either of these reflects the exact musical reality of the Archaic and Classical period. However, in various aspects and to a varying degree, many of these rhythmic documents display remarkable coincidences with the syllabic structure of the classical texts and with the rhythmic and metric doctrine prior to and following the third century BC. For example, the famous musical passage from Euripides' *Orestes*<sup>23</sup>, insofar as it is possible to guess its rhythm via the notation contained therein, is rather well suited both to the dochmiac and general rhythmic-syllabic norms which one can extract both from ancient theory and the body of ancient poetic texts. In the textually clear passages, the short syllables appear without any special mark to indicate the duration. Comparatively, the long syllables appear either with the symbol for 'díchronos' or divided into two

I do not want to omit paying homage to the famous expression coined by Giorgio Pasquali of «recentiores non deteriores». This principle, which is of great use in textual criticism, is not applicable in the same measure to the field of the transmission of ancient musical reality over the centuries, for reasons which will be made clear in the following part of the text.

The different values of the signs of ancient rhythmic notation are detailed by the *Anon. Bellerm.* in paragraphs 1, 3, 83, 102 Najock 1972. The information contained in this document is coherent with the rest of the ancient doctrine and with the testimonies of rhythmically notated music.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> See n. 3 Pöhlmann-West 2001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> It deals with verses 338-44 of *Orestes* by Euripides. See n. 3 Pöhlmann-West 2001.

short musical notes, with the following exceptions: on the one hand, none of the long ending syllables of the dochmii appears with the rhythmic symbol for 'díchronos'; on the other, these markings are also not found in the majority of long syllables in or by the side of a position which, in the basic dochmiac structure, should be occupied by a short syllable<sup>24</sup>. Therefore, in the aforementioned document, a fair number of *longa* last for double the duration of the short syllables and, as we all know, ancient doctrine and the poetic texts both back up this ratio on a multitude of occasions, both on a general level as in the specific case of the dochmii<sup>25</sup>. Moreover, the fact that the long syllables which occupy a usually short position appear unmarked as 'díchronoi' in this document, seems to reflect rhythmically the anceps phenomenon which is present in the dramatic dochmii, in a way which is difficult to weigh up here.

Another good example, are the two Delphic hymns which are numbered 20 and 21 by Pöhlmann and West<sup>26</sup>. These hymns are composed, in the main part, by cretic-paeonic feet, which, thanks to the multitude of subdivisions displayed, are analyzable as groups equivalent to five short syllables. One can find parallels to these types of feet both in the theoretical works - including some of the oldest - and the Classical poetic texts<sup>27</sup>.

It is also worth referring here to the instrumental passages of Bellermann's Anonymous, which contain a good number of coincidences with the oldest known rhythmic theory and with the metric structure of many Archaic and Classical poetic

See lines 1, 2 and 6 of Pöhlmann-West 2001. It is worth noting, however, that on line 6 there is a long syllable divided into two short notes, which is situated next to an anceps position occupied by a long syllable. On line 5, in a textually unclear passage, one could suppose, by basing oneself on the Euripidean text, that the long marked syllable was situated just before an anceps position occupied by a long syllable. In this sense, however, it is no less important to point out that lines 5 and 6, with regards to some aspects of notation, show clear divergences from the rest of the document.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> In order to reinforce the evidence offered by the dramatic Classical texts themselves (especially the usual 'substitution' of the *longa* for groups of two short syllables), one can find ancient theoretical analyses on this type of verse in Schol. *e* to verse 101 of Aeschylus' *Septem* (p. 63 Smith 1976), in Schol. *a* to verse 128 of the same work, in Aristid. Quint. *De mus*. I. XVIII Winnington.-Ingram 1963, etc.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> Pöhlmann-West 2001.

Arist. Rh. III 8. 1409a, Aristox. El. rhyth. 33 Pearson 1990, Anon. Bellerm. 15-16 Najock 1972, D. H. Comp. 204-06 Usener-Radermacher (1899) 1997, etc. With regard to the existence of a rhythm of five basic time-units in Classical works - something which is doubted by some scholars -, see, apart from the Aristotelian testimony which we have just cited and the remarks made by West 1982 and 1992, the following passages in which, within the same rhythmic section, the first and second longum of the cretic foot appear resolved: Aesch. Suppl. 421-22, Ar. Av. 246 and 1065 (cod.), Georg. 111. In the case of B. 17 Snell-Maehler (1970) 1992, the cretic nature of the passage is not clear.

texts. For example, number 33 of the Pöhlmann and West edition<sup>28</sup> is based on the succession of two values found in the relationship of 1/2 between them. This type of rhythm, as we have seen further above, was already known by Aristotle<sup>29</sup> - and probably by Plato also<sup>30</sup> - and does not strike me as an unsuitable rhythmic correlation for a multitude of passages included in the trochaic and iambic metric sequences of the Archaic and Classical texts.

In the same way, the passage from Bellermann's Anonymous labelled with the number 32 by Pöhlmann and West is especially interesting. The epigraph illustrates to us that we are dealing with is a passage based on groups of six time-units. With the exception of the final note, one can easily divide the musical fragment into groups of six, and even into groups of three time-units. The rhythmic groups of six time-units which we can extract from this passage would be equivalent in modern notation to  $\text{ALA}, \text{ALA}, \text$ 

Another factor that enables the rhythmic study of the Archaic and Classical poetic-musical works is the quantitative nature of the Greek language. Just as poets and composers of dynamically-accented languages tend to adapt to the accentual values of each word in their texts, the Greek linguistic opposition between long and short vowels must have placed certain limitations on the musician-poet when it came to

Pöhlmann-West 2001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> Arist. Rh.1408b-09a.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Pl. R. III 400b-c.

This last group, which occupies the final position of the short musical work, becomes . in the edition of Westphal 1867 and Pöhlmann-West 2001, by way of an addition to the text offered by the papyrus. However, in dealing with the absolute ending, it seems simpler to assume that the original author did not feel the need to 'fill' the last six first time-unit group once the passage had already been finished. Therefore, the supplement added by the aforementioned editors no longer seems truly necessary.

Of the three metric groups, the first and third would be basic elements of the aforementioned odes, whilst the second group would be far less common. See, for example, B. 2, 4 (vv. 1-2, 4 and 7-10 of the strophe), 6, 18 and fr. 8 (vv. 1-4 of the strophe) Snell-Maehler (1970) 1992, Pind. Ol. I (vv. 1 and 3-8 of the strophe, vv. 2-3 and 5-7 of the epode), Ol. IV (vv. 3-5 and 11 of the strophe, vv. 1-3, 5 and 8-9 of the epode), Pyth. II (vv.1-2, 5 and 8 of the strophe and entirety of the epode), Pyth. V (epode), Pyth. VI, Pyth. VII (vv. 1 and 4 of the strophe and the entirety of the epode), Pyth. VIII, Pyth. X (vv. 1-2 and 5 of the strophe and entirety of the epode), Pyth. VIII (vv. 2-6 of the strophe and vv. 1-4 of the epode), Nem. II (vv. 1-2 and 4 of the strophe), Nem. III (vv. 3-8 of the strophe and vv. 2-3 of the epode) Snell-Maehler 1975-1980, etc.

seeking possibilities for lengthening and shortening syllables, or at least in the majority of cases. The ancient theorists used to relate long syllables to notes lasting twice the duration of short syllables<sup>33</sup>. Moreover, as is well known, the internal and external responsion between two short syllables and one long syllable, and also between one long and one short syllable are common in Archaic and Classical texts. On the other hand, the external responsions between one long syllable and elements of three first time-units are extremely rare<sup>34</sup>. The external responsions between one long syllable and groups of four or five first time-units are highly uncommon, and often textually dubious, phenomena<sup>35</sup>.

Regarding this question, it may be illustrative to consider the well-known passage by Dionysius of Halicarnassus, in which the author remarks on the fact that the musician-poets could end up converting a long syllable into a short syllable and a short into a long syllable<sup>36</sup>. The reading of the text makes it quite clear that, for Dionysius of Halicarnassus, this was not normal procedure. It rather illustrates the extremes to which vocal music could go. Moreover, it is worth bearing in mind that during the period of Dionysius of Halicarnassus, the musicians/poets probably took more liberties than authors of the Classical period in terms of the long/short linguistic opposition. Therefore, Dionysius of Halicarnassus' erudite observation reinforces, *e contrario*, that which the comparison with other systems, ancient doctrine and Archaic and Classical poetic texts had already suggested in the positive: that, in quantitative poetry and song, the most normal procedure should have been to avoid a collapse of the long/short distinction - as in our song and poetry, whereby the stressed and unstressed syllabic distinction does not enter generally into collapse.

Similarly, it is important to highlight that in the old musical documents with rhythmic notation that we have, the norm is for long syllables to be found in notes of double or triple duration with regard to those notes occupied by short syllables<sup>37</sup>. What is more, in relation to the basic time-units of reference, the especially long values are normally occupied by syllables containing a long vowel or diphthong<sup>38</sup>. Within these longer durations, one can also find a relatively high number of sylla-

Psell. Isag. 1, 8, 9 and 14 Pearson 1990, Heph. Ench. (see, especially, chapter III), etc.

See the text and critical apparatus of Aesch. *Cho.* 785~796, *Sept.* 170~178, B. 5 vv. 13-14 of the strophe Snell-Maehler (1970) 1992, Soph. *Ant.* 975~986, *El.* 1088~1096, Eur. *Hipp.* 822~841, *Suppl.* 804~817, *El.* 705~719, 1185~1201, *HF* 787~804, *Or.* 1363~1547, *IA* 253~265, *Rh.* 455~821, Ar. *Lys.* 788-89~812-13, *Thesm.* 961~964~967.

See the text and critical apparatus of Aesch. Suppl. 810~819, Cho. 470~475, 588~597, Bacch. 17.
15 of the strophe Snell-Maehler (1970) 1992, Eur. Alc. 877~894, Med. 977~984, Tro. 1310~1325, Ar. Pax 1135~1167. See also Aesch. Eum. 924~946.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> D. H. *Comp.* 64 Usener-Radermacher (1899) 1997.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>37</sup> See nn. 3, 4, 5, 6, etc. Pöhlmann-West 2001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>38</sup> See n. 11 line 2 n. 17 lines 16-17 and 19 n. 18 line 23 n. 23 lines 6 (two cases), 8-9 and 11, etc. Pöhlmann-West 2001.

Finally, the comparison with other musical cultures which are far better documented may, in some cases, shed some additional light on the subject. It is licit for us to use any available comparative data, providing that these comparisons are made in an auxiliary manner, i.e. in conjunction with other types of evidence.

With the help of all of these elements - metrical data, ancient doctrine, preserved musical texts, quantitative opposition and the comparison with other better-known musical realities - , it is easier for us to confront the rhythmical analysis of Archaic and Classical poetic-musical texts. At the first stage, we must seek to take into consideration the compositions and genres in an individualised way, as far as this is possible. The collective of specific analyses provides a series of data of varying degrees of precision which might lead, on one hand, to the formulation of a first set of rhythmical conclusions - whether general or specific - , and, on the other hand, to the formulation of rhythmical hypotheses. Those hypotheses which can be reasona-

<sup>39</sup> See n. 27 lines 14 (?), 23 and 25 n. 38 lines 6 (two cases) and 7 (two cases?), n. 42 line 10 n. 58 lines 3 (?) and 7 Pöhlmann-West 2001. In the papyrus corresponding to n. 17 line 18 of the cited edition, the short open syllable δυ of the name Ὀδυσέα appears situated in a note which is interpreted by Pöhlmann and West as being of triple duration in relation to the basic time-unit of reference. It is essential to remember that proper names in general tended to enjoy a great deal of metric freedom. Furthermore, one must not forget that in the *Iliad* and the *Odyssey*, the syllable in question appears very often as a long syllable with the spelling Ὀδυσσέα. In fact, the aforementioned editors opt for writing Ὀδυσ<σ>έα in the transcription of the papyrus.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> See n. 39 line 4 Pöhlmann-West 2001.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Apart from the already well-known metric freedom enjoyed by proper names in ancient Greek poetry in general, it is paramount to underline that in certain ancient preserved musical documents, one can observe a specific tendency to lengthen some of the syllables of this type of words (see nn. 27, 50, 17 and 39 Pöhlmann-West 2001).

bly confirmed, both for metrical data extracted from ancient poetic-musical texts and for all or some of the other four basic points of reference, could serve as a starting point for the formulation of new hypotheses, and so on.

Barcelona Joan Silva Barris

# **Cited works**

F. Budelmann, Sound and Text: the Rhythm and Metre or Archaic and Classical Greek Poetry in Ancient and Byzantine Scholarship, in Homer, Tragedy and Beyond. Essays in Honour of P.E. Easterling, edd. F. Budelmann-P. Michelakis, London 2001,		
209-40.		
A. Dain, <i>Traité de métrique grecque</i> , Paris 1965.		
B. Gentili, <i>La metrica dei greci</i> , Firenze 1966.		
B. Gentili-L. Lomiento, <i>Metrica e ritmica: storia</i> delle forme poetiche nella Grecia antica, Milano 2003.		
G. Hermann, <i>Elementa doctrinae metricae</i> , Leipzig 1816.		
C. Jan (ed.), <i>Musici Scriptores Graeci</i> , Stuttgart (1895) 1995.		
D. Korzeniewski, <i>Griechische Metrik</i> , Darmstadt 1968.		
W.J.W. Koster, <i>Traité de métrique grecque</i> , Leiden (1936) 1966.		
P. Maas, <i>Greek Metre</i> , Oxford 1962 <sup>2</sup> (1923 <sup>1</sup> : <i>Griechische Metrik</i> , in <i>Einleitung in die Altertumwissenschaft</i> , I.7, edd. A. Gercke and E. Norden, Leipzig-Berlin).		
M. Meibom (ed.), <i>Antiquae musicae auctores septem</i> , Amsterdam 1652.		
D. Najock (ed.), Drei anonyme griechische Traktate über die Musik. Eine kommentierte Neuausgabe des Bellermannschen Anonymus, Göttingen 1972.		
L. Pearson, <i>Aristoxenus: 'Elementa Rhythmica'</i> , edited with Introduction, Translation and Commentary, Oxford 1990.		

## Classical Greek Poetic and Musical Rhythm

Pöhlmann-West 2001 E. Pöhlmann-M.L. West, *Documents of Ancient* 

Greek Music, Oxford 2001.

Smith 1976 O.L. Smith (ed.), Scholia Graeca in Aeschylum quae

exstant omnia, Leipzig 1976.

Snell-Maehler 1975-1980 B. Snell-H. Maehler (edd.), Pindari carmina cum

fragmentis I- II, Leipzig 1975-1980.

Snell-Maehler (1970) 1992 B. Snell-H. Maehler (edd.), *Bacchylidis carmina* 

cum fragmentis, Leipzig (1970)1992.

Usener-Radermacher (1899) 1997 H. Usener-L. Radermacher (edd.), Dionysius Hali-

carnassensis: 'Opuscula', Leipzig (1899) 1997.

West 1982 M.L. West, *Greek Metre*, Oxford 1982.

West 1992 M.L. West, Ancient Greek Music, Oxford 1992.

Westphal 1867 R. Westphal, Griechische Rhythmik und Harmonik,

nebst der Geschichte der drei musischen Disciplinen,

Leipzig 1867.

Willamowitz-Moellendorff 1921 U. v. Wilamowitz-Moellendorff, Griechische Vers-

kunst, Berlin 1921.

Winnington-Ingram 1963 R.P.Winnington-Ingram (ed.), Aristidis Quintiliani

De musica libri tres, Leipzig 1963.